ORIGINAL

OPEN MEETING

MEMORANDUM



RECEIVED

TO:

THE COMMISSION

2010 MAR 15 P 4: 43

FROM:

Utilities Division

AZ CORP COMMISSION

DATE:

March 16, 2010

RE:

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY-APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF

ITS PROPOSED ZERO-NET ENERGY HOMES PILOT PROGRAM (DOCKET

NOS. E-01933A-07-0402 AND E-01933A-05-0650)

BACKGROUND

On June 30, 2009, Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP" or "Company") filed an application for approval of its proposed Zero-Net Energy Homes ("ZEH") Pilot Program. The proposed ZEH Pilot Program expands on the current New Home Construction Program, marketed as the Guarantee Homes Program ("GHP") and approved by the Commission on August 6, 2008 (Decision No. 70458). In Decision No. 70628, the Commission required TEP to "build on its current residential energy efficiency program and prepare a report and proposed pilot project [and]...outline what Zero-Net technologies and incentives...can be incorporated into the Company's existing DSM programs."

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program which is an expansion of the current New Home Construction Program ("Tier 1") incorporates two new tiers: Tier 2-Energy Efficient Home Construction ("Tier 2") and Tier 3-Near Zero-Net Energy Homes ("Tier 3") into the existing New Home Construction Program. According to TEP, incorporating the two additional tiers will help keep the program administrative costs down. TEP intends to merge the ZEH Pilot Program with the existing GHP. According to the proposed Program, homes will qualify for one of the three tiers in the program based on a Home Energy Rating System ("HERS") Index score. The HERS awards a numerical value for gauging a home's performance. Higher performing homes achieve a lower HERS score. Tier 1 requires a HERS score that is less than or equal to 85, Tier 2 will require a HERS score that is less than or equal to 45. Table 1 illustrates the HERS Index Scores for the Residential New Home Construction Program.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAR 1 6 2010

¹ The HERS Index was developed by the Residential Energy Service Network.

DOCKETED BY W

Table 1

Tier 1 (Existing Program)	HERS Index Score of ≤ 85
Tier 2 (Energy Efficiency Only)	HERS Index Score of ≤ 70
Tier 3 (50% Zero-Net Energy)	HERS Index Score of ≤ 45

The proposed ZEH Pilot Program design will allow TEP to use existing delivery infrastructure and marketing to promote all three program tiers. According to TEP, although the proposed addition of Tier 2 and Tier 3 would improve the energy efficiency of the New Home Construction Program, they do not achieve 100 percent zero-net energy due to cost-effectiveness concerns. TEP defines the term *zero-net energy* as "the ratio between annual energy generated by the house through the on-site renewable devices to the total annual energy used by the house." Therefore, a home is considered to be a 75 percent ZEH if 75 percent of the total annual energy a home uses (including energy from both gas and electric for dual fuel homes) comes from on-site generation.

TEP's existing residential New Home Construction Program currently offers three options; the Guarantee Homes Program ("GHP"), the Guarantee Solar Program ("GSP"), and the Energy Star® Program ("ESP"). TEP anticipates that the GSP will be replaced by the new Tier 3 option.

According to TEP, with the addition of the new Tier 2 and Tier 3 options, there will be additional construction standards in order for builders to achieve Tier 2 and Tier 3. As a result, the incremental costs for builders will increase to meet the increased standards for Tier 2 and Tier 3 as well as the recommended incentive to the builders. Table 2 illustrates the proposed ZEH Pilot Program Builder Incentives. The additional construction standards for Tier 2 and Tier 3 homes include:

- Greater envelope and Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning ("HVAC") energy efficiency standards;
- Ducts are located within conditioned space;
- Both photovoltaic ("PV") and solar water heating on the ZEH;
- Passive solar design that incorporates passive solar heating in the winter and shading in the summer for the highest efficiency homes;
- Energy Star® fixed appliances; and
- Compact Fluorescent Lamps ("CFLs")

Table 2

ZEH Tier Level	HERS Index	Builder Incentive
Tier 1 (Existing Program)	≤ 85	\$400 per home
Tier 2 (Energy Efficiency Home)	≤ 70	\$1,500 per home
Tier 3 (50% Zero-Net Energy Homes)	≤ 4 5	\$3,000 per home

According to information provided by TEP, the additional \$1,500 above Tier 2 incentive paid to builders for Tier 3 from this program will be in addition to the incentives paid from TEP's REST Program. Despite the addition of the \$1,500 increase in incentives between Tier 2 and Tier 3, the total incentives paid for Tier 3 will not exceed the sixty percent (60%) cap approved in its 2010 REST Implementation Plan (Decision No. 71465). TEP indicated that builders would not pursue the available federal and state tax credits for PV and solar water heaters as they may not be eligible to receive those credits because the builder would not be the actual owner of the home. In addition, TEP indicated that the actual home owner would be eligible to pursue the available federal and state credits for PV and solar water heaters. Therefore, TEP chose to increase the incentive levels for those builders who invested in the construction of a home that qualifies for the Tier 3 level (HERS \leq 45) because the builder may not be able to qualify for the available federal and state tax credits for solar technologies.

TARGET MARKET

According to TEP, the target market for the ZEH Pilot Program is new homes within TEP's service territory. TEP intends to market the ZEH Pilot Program to all builders within its service territory. The tiered program approach allows TEP to promote the Tier 2 level of the ZEH Pilot Program for homes that are constructed with a combination of electric and natural gas.

Because the ZEH Pilot Program does not achieve a true zero-net energy level and is designed for a 50 percent zero-net energy level (Tier 3), the size of the PV system built would need to be large enough to produce at least 50 percent of the total energy (gas and/or electric) used in the home. In addition, TEP states that if a home is designed with gas water heating and gas heating to meet the 50 percent zero-net energy level (Tier 3), then a gas/electric home would qualify as a Tier 3 level home. This home would also qualify for the Tier 3 incentive level payments. TEP stated that it chose to focus its marketing on an all electric option for Tier 3. However, Staff believes that for Tier 3 that TEP's marketing efforts should include gas/electric homes.

PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the ZEH Pilot Program are the following:

- Reduce peak demand and overall energy consumption (electric) in new homes;
- Implement programs that include more aggressive energy efficiency standards that produce savings of at least 20 percent above baseline (HERS 70) and a near

zero-net percentage of at least 50 percent (HERS 45) where approximately 50 percent of annual energy used by the home will come from on-site renewable generation;

- Stimulate the installation of solar photovoltaic systems and solar water heaters in new homes;
- Stimulate energy efficiency standards that are higher than Environmental Protection Agency/Department of Energy, Energy Star Homes® performance standards;
- Stimulate construction of new homes that are inspected and tested to assure energy performance;
- Stimulate the installation of high efficiency heating and cooling systems, envelope, lighting, and fixed appliances (Energy Star® products);
- Assist sales agents with promoting and selling of zero-net energy homes;
- Provide information to help explain the benefits of zero-net home features;
- Train builder construction staff and sub-contractors in advanced building-science concepts to reach zero-net energy goals through improved design and installation practices, and through the installation of renewable energy devices;
- Increase homebuyer awareness and understanding of the benefits they receive from living in a zero-net energy home and how they can improve the performance of their home; and
- Educate builders who: 1) are not familiar with energy savings and on-site generation potential; 2) may be uncertain about zero-net energy performance; and 3) may be concerned about high initial costs for construction measures.

PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

According to TEP, the ZEH Pilot Program would provide the following products and services:

- Promotion of builders and subdivisions that achieve zero-net energy levels of at least 50 percent;
- Builder and sub-contractor education and training;

- Educational and promotional materials for builders and new home buyers; and
- Homeowner or builder incentives for achieving increasing energy efficiency and zero-net energy levels as measured by a HERS index score of either ≤ 70 or ≤ 45 .

ZEH PILOT PROGRAM METHODOLOGY

To determine the feasibility for a ZEH program, TEP developed a baseline simulation model of a new home; then several versions of the baseline model with increasing levels of energy efficiency; and finally several versions of the energy efficiency models with increasing levels of zero-net energy targets.

There were four stages of the study:

- Define and simulate a baseline home, reflecting current practice for new single family homes in Tucson, Arizona, as an approximate representative location for TEP territory. The home was modeled as all-electric.
- Define and simulate three homes with increasing levels of efficiency. The targets for the models were a 20 percent, 30 percent, and 35 percent reduction in annual energy use.
- Simulate three homes with increasing levels of zero-net energy by adding both solar water heating and solar PV. The targets for the models were 50 percent, 75 percent, and 100 percent zero-net energy levels. These models were based on either the 20 percent or the 35 percent energy efficiency home.
- Combine estimated demand and energy savings from all of the models, incremental costs over baseline costs, and other utility data to produce a benefit-cost test result for each model. This was done in the format of a Measure Analysis Sheet.

According to TEP, the baseline home simulation was an all-electric, 1,850 square-foot home in Tucson, Arizona. A combination of two sources was used to determine the level of efficiency in the baseline model: 1) the 2007 Enovity Report², and 2) the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code for residential new construction. The models were developed using the eQuestTM simulation software which generates savings estimates. The homes were also modeled with REM/Rate simulation software that determines the HERS index the homes would achieve. TEP developed a total of nine cases; three of which were energy efficiency models, three zero-net models based on a 20 percent energy efficient house, and three zero-net models based on a 35 percent energy efficient home.

² Residential Home Standards: Energy Analysis and DOE-2 Simulation, Prepared by Enovity, Inc. for Tucson Electric Power Company, February 12, 2007.

For the energy efficiency-only models, TEP set a goal of 20 percent, 30 percent, and 35 percent reduction in annual energy usage over the baseline model. In addition, TEP added the following energy efficiency measures to the baseline model to generate increasing levels of savings:

- Orientation: Orienting a house in a north-south direction. (Not normally achievable in a subdivision design and can usually only be applied to custom homes.)
- Windows: reducing total window area; increasing window area on south-facing wall to increase passive solar heating; and reducing glass heat transfer coefficient ("U-Value") and Solar Heat Gain Coefficient ("SHGC") value. The SHGC value indicates how well a window blocks the transmission of heat from sunlight and is expressed as a number between 0 and 1. The lower the SHGC of a window, the more efficiently it blocks the transmission of heat.
- HVAC Measures: Reducing infiltration, reducing duct leakage, heat pump quality installation, increasing heat pump Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio ("SEER") and Coefficient of Performance ("COP") or Heating Seasonal Performance Factor ("HSPF") values, and moving ducts into conditioned space. Running HVAC ductwork through air conditioned or semi-conditioned spaces avoids temperature extremes and allows the system to work more efficiently. SEER ratings reflect the ratio of cooling output to kWh used and measure efficiency over an entire cooling season.
- Envelope: Increasing thermal resistance values ("R-values") in walls and ceiling.
- Lighting: Reducing lighting power density.
- Appliances: Replacing standard fixed appliances with Energy Star® fixed appliances.

For the zero-net models, the estimated hourly outputs from a solar water heating system and a solar PV system were subtracted from the hourly total energy use of the 20 percent energy efficiency or 35 percent energy efficiency model results, providing the net hourly and annual use of the home. TEP estimated the solar output using PVWatts simulation software for the solar PV system. TEP also used an in-house built spreadsheet model for the solar water heating system. The coincident and non-coincident peak demand for each case was determined by the hourly model results.

BUDGET AND ENERGY SAVINGS

TEP estimated only the additional incremental costs related to the promotion of the proposed multi-tiered approach. Table 3 and Table 4 below show the estimated ZEH Pilot Program budget over a three-year period, from 2010-2012. The estimated budget represents only the incremental increase in the approved budget for the existing Guarantee Homes Program.

Table 3

Year 🗼 🦠	2010	2011	2012
Financial Incentives	\$195,000	\$214,500	\$235,500
Total Direct Implementation	\$19,514	\$19,945	\$20,420
Total Marketing Allocation	\$7,921	\$8,713	\$9,584
Total Admin and O&M Cost Allocation	\$6,598	\$7,258	\$7,984
Total EM&V Cost Allocation	\$1,486	\$1,634	\$1,798
Total Program Budget (above GHP)	\$230,519	\$252,050	\$275,286

Table 4

Year Year	2010	- 2011	2012
Total Program Budget	\$230,519	\$252,050	\$275,286
Incentives	\$195,000	\$214,500	\$235,500
Admin Costs	\$35,519	\$37,550	\$39,786
Incentives as % of Budget	84.6%	85.1%	85.5%

The baseline home simulation model used by TEP has a consumption of 14,228 kWh per year and a HERS index of 90. With the addition of Tier 2 and Tier 3, energy consumption decreases and the HERS index improves as energy efficiency measures, efficient designs, and renewables are incorporated. Table 5 below compares the annual consumption, peak demand, and annual savings with the baseline model, the existing program (Tier 1), and the addition of Tier 2 and Tier 3.

Table 5

	Baseline Home Model	Existing Program Tier 1: HERS Index≤85	Proposed Tier 2: HERS Index ≤ 70	Proposed Tier 3: HERS Index ≤ 45
Modeled Annual Consumption (kWh)	14,228	13,142	11,355	4,770
Peak Demand- Coincident (kW)	5.72	4.4	3.75	2.54
Annual kWh Savings	n/a	1,086	2,873	9,458
Annual Peak kW Savings	n/a	1.32	1.97	3.18

- Tier 1 is the existing Guarantee Homes Program. Tier 1 has a qualifying HERS index of ≤ 85. TEP did not include a re-analysis of this existing program in the ZEH Pilot Program.
- Tier 2 is the proposed new Guarantee Homes Plus program. Tier 2 has a qualifying HERS index of ≤ 70. The Tier 2 home is modeled to be approximately 30 percent more efficient than the baseline home.
- Tier 3 is the proposed new Guarantee Homes Near Zero-Net. Tier 3 has a qualifying HERS index of ≤ 45. The Tier 3 home is modeled to be approximately 50 percent zero-net energy and is based on the home that is approximately 50 percent more efficient than the baseline home model.

THE COMMISSION March 16, 2010 Page 8

TEP anticipates a maximum of 100 participants in 2010, estimating that 70 percent would achieve the Tier 2 standard and 30 percent would achieve the Tier 3 standard. In addition, TEP is anticipating a 10 percent increase in participation per year. Participation in the existing GHP Program is not included in TEP's forecast. Table 6 below shows TEP's total participation goals and energy savings.

Table 6

Year	2010	2011	2012	Total
Tier 2 Participants-HERS Index ≤ 70	70	77	85	232
Tier 3 Participants-HERS Index ≤ 45	30	33	36	99
Tier 2 and Tier 3 Total Participants/year	100	110	121	331
Total Annual Energy Savings (MWh)	485	533	585	1,603

BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS

The Commission's 1991 Resource Planning Decision established the Societal Test as the methodology to be used for determining the cost-effectiveness of a DSM program. Under the Societal Test, in order to be cost-effective, the ratio of benefits to costs must be greater than one. That is, the incremental benefits to society of a program must exceed the incremental costs of having the program in place. The societal costs for a DSM program include the cost of the measure and the cost of implementing the program, excluding rebates. The societal benefits of a DSM program include the avoided demand and energy costs as well as the avoided environmental impacts, which are quantified, but do not have to be monetized. The projected environmental and estimated energy savings are discussed in the next section. Staff did not conduct a benefit-cost analysis of TEP's proposed Tier 3 option because, according to information provided by TEP, the only difference between the incremental costs to builders for a home that qualifies for TEP's Tier 2 and TEP's Tier 3 option is the costs for solar measures.

In its application, TEP included potential costs of complying with carbon dioxide (CO₂) regulation in its benefit-cost calculations. TEP has estimated low, medium, and high carbon values of \$14, \$25, and \$43/ton respectively. Staff understands that the Commission has yet to make a determination as to the potential value of CO₂ or its inclusion in the calculation of the Societal Test. Staff conducted its benefit-cost analysis including and excluding the CO₂ values provided by TEP. Without any value of CO₂ included in its analysis, Staff has concluded that TEP's Tier 2 option for the ZEH Pilot Program is not cost-effective, with an incremental builder cost of \$3,995 and a benefit/cost ratio of 0.75. With the inclusion of a low CO₂ value, TEP's Tier 2 option is cost-effective with a benefit-cost ratio of 1.12.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND ENERGY SAVINGS

Table 7 below represents the estimated lifetime environmental savings from all of the ZEH Pilot Program homes projected to be built over a three-year period. These savings represent the incremental savings above the estimate for the TEP Tier 1(existing program). Staff notes that the projected environmental savings listed below reflect a thirty-year lifespan for the qualified homes built under this program and that longer life spans would result in higher savings.

Table 7

Type of Emission	Savings
CO ₂ (lbs)	61,384,136
NO _x (lbs)	83,285
SO _x (lbs)	69,026
Water (gals)	14,746,478

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff makes the following recommendations concerning the TEP ZEH Pilot Program:

- Based upon Staff's typical review and analysis of the benefits and costs of TEP's application, Staff does not recommend that TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program, as discussed herein, be approved at this time. However, based upon Staff's analysis with the inclusion of the CO₂ value, TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program is cost-effective.
- Should the Commission decide to approve TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program with the inclusion of the CO₂ value, Staff recommends that TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program be approved as discussed herein as a step toward zero-net energy home standards. In addition, Staff recommends that TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program be approved until further order of the Commission. Further, if the Commission approves of TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program, Staff recommends that TEP include information regarding its ZEH Pilot Program in its compliance filing with the requirements established for the existing New Home Construction Program in Decision No. 70458. The information reported should be broken down by tier. In addition, the information and data reported for all of the tiers should include the following information:
 - 1. Progress toward the goal of zero-net energy homes;
 - 2. Information on whether incremental measure and program costs are conforming to expectations;

- 3. Data indicating whether the energy savings estimated for each tier have actually occurred and been verified in practice;
- 4. Explanations and proposed solutions should be provided in cases where participation, incremental and program costs, or energy savings are significantly different than originally estimated.
- 5. Any other information necessary for the Commission to understand the progress and status of the program; and
- In addition, should the Commission approve TEP's ZEH Pilot Program, Staff recommends that it be marketed to gas and electric loans and that forty-two months after the date of a Decision in this matter, TEP file, for Commission approval, an application to continue, modify, or terminate the ZEH Pilot Program. Staff believes that a forty-two month timeframe is reasonable for TEP to sufficiently implement the Pilot Program, measure the results, and file its application.

Steven M. Olea

Director

Utilities Division

SMO:CLA:lhm\JFW

ORIGINATOR: Candrea Allen

	A
1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
2	KRISTIN K. MAYES
3	Chairman GARY PIERCE
4	Commissioner PAUL NEWMAN
5	Commissioner SANDRA D. KENNEDY
6	Commissioner BOB STUMP
7	Commissioner
8	
9	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) DOCKET NOS. E-01933A-07-0402 OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER E-01933A-05-0650
10	COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF ITS
11	PROPOSED ZERO-NET ENERGY HOMES DECISION NO PILOT PROGRAM ORDER
12	S ORDER
13	Open Meeting
14	March 31 and April 1, 2010 Phoenix, Arizona
15	BY THE COMMISSION:
16	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>
17	1. Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP" or "Company") is certificated to provide
18	electric service as a public service corporation in the State of Arizona.
19	BACKGROUND
20	2. On June 30, 2009, TEP filed an application for approval of its proposed Zero-Net
21	Energy Homes ("ZEH") Pilot Program. The proposed ZEH Pilot Program expands on the current
22	New Home Construction Program marketed as the Guarantee Homes Program ("GHP") and
23	approved by the Commission on August 6, 2008 (Decision No. 70458). In Decision No. 70628,
24	the Commission required TEP to "build on its current residential energy efficiency program and
25	prepare a report and proposed pilot project [and]outline what Zero-Net technologies and
26	incentivescan be incorporated into the Company's existing DSM programs."
27	
28	

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

3. TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program which is an expansion of the current New Home Construction Program ("Tier 1") incorporates two new tiers: Tier 2-Energy Efficient Home Construction ("Tier 2") and Tier 3-Near Zero-Net Energy Homes ("Tier 3") into the existing New Home Construction Program. According to TEP, incorporating the two additional tiers will help keep the program administrative costs down. TEP intends to merge the ZEH Pilot Program with the existing GHP. According to the proposed Program, homes will qualify for one of the three tiers in the program based on a Home Energy Rating System ("HERS") Index score. The HERS awards a numerical value for gauging a home's performance. Higher performing homes achieve a lower HERS score. Tier 1 requires a HERS score that is less than or equal to 85, Tier 2 will require a HERS score that is less than or equal to 45. Table 1 illustrates the HERS Index Scores for the Residential New Home Construction Program.

Table 1

IAUICI	
Tier 1 (Existing Program)	HERS Index Score of ≤ 85
Tier 2 (Energy Efficiency Only)	HERS Index Score of ≤ 70
Tier 3 (50% Zero-Net Energy)	HERS Index Score of ≤ 45

- 4. The proposed ZEH Pilot Program design will allow TEP to use existing delivery infrastructure and marketing to promote all three program tiers. According to TEP, although the proposed addition of Tier 2 and Tier 3 would improve the energy efficiency of the New Home Construction Program, they do not achieve 100 percent zero-net energy due to cost-effectiveness concerns. TEP defines the term *zero-net energy* as "the ratio between annual energy generated by the house through the on-site renewable devices to the total annual energy used by the house." Therefore, a home is considered to be a 75 percent ZEH if 75 percent of the total annual energy a home uses (including energy from both gas and electric for dual fuel homes) comes from on-site generation.
- 5. TEP's existing residential New Home Construction Program currently offers three options; the Guarantee Homes Program ("GHP"), the Guarantee Solar Program ("GSP"), and the

Decision No.

¹ The HERS Index was developed by the Residential Energy Service Network.

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

28

Energy Star® Program ("ESP"). TEP anticipates that the GSP will be replaced by the new Tier 3 option.

- 6. According to TEP, with the addition of the new Tier 2 and Tier 3 options, there will be additional construction standards in order for builders to achieve Tier 2 and Tier 3. As a result, the incremental costs for builders will increase to meet the increased standards for Tier 2 and Tier 3 as well as the recommended incentive to the builders. Table 2 illustrates the proposed ZEH Pilot Program Builder Incentives. The additional construction standards for Tier 2 and Tier 3 homes include:
 - Greater envelope and Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning ("HVAC") energy efficiency standards;
 - Ducts are located within conditioned space;
 - Both photovoltaic ("PV") and solar water heating on the ZEH;

Tier 3 (50% Zero-Net Energy Homes)

- Passive solar design that incorporates passive solar heating in the winter and shading in the summer for the highest efficiency homes;
- Energy Star® fixed appliances; and
- Compact Fluorescent Lamps ("CFLs")

Table 2ZEH Tier LevelHERSBuilder Incentive
IndexTier 1 (Existing Program) ≤ 85 \$400 per homeTier 2 (Energy Efficiency Home) ≤ 70 \$1,500 per home

≤45

\$3,000 per home

7. According to information provided by TEP, the additional \$1,500 above Tier 2 incentive paid to builders for Tier 3 from this program will be in addition to the incentives paid from TEP's REST Program. Despite the addition of the \$1,500 increase in incentives between Tier 2 and Tier 3, the total incentives paid for Tier 3 will not exceed the sixty percent (60%) cap approved in its 2010 REST Implementation Plan (Decision No. 71465). TEP indicated that builders would not pursue the available federal and state tax credits for PV and solar water heaters as they may not be eligible to receive those credits because the builder would not be the actual owner of the home. In addition, TEP indicated that the actual home owner would be eligible to

~		~ -	
1)0	C1 C1 A	n No.	
\mathbf{L}	-1910	II INO.	

pursue the available federal and state credits for PV and solar water heaters. Therefore, TEP chose to increase the incentive levels for those builders who invested in the construction of a home that qualifies for the Tier 3 level (HERS \leq 45) because the builder may not be able to qualify for the available federal and state tax credits for solar technologies.

TARGET MARKET

- 8. According to TEP, the target market for the ZEH Pilot Program is new homes within TEP's service territory. TEP intends to market the ZEH Pilot Program to all builders within its service territory. The tiered program approach allows TEP to promote the Tier 2 level of the ZEH Pilot Program for homes that are constructed with a combination of electric and natural gas.
- 9. Because the ZEH Pilot Program does not achieve a true zero-net energy level and is designed for a 50 percent zero-net energy level (Tier 3), the size of the PV system built would need to be large enough to produce at least 50 percent of the total energy (gas and/or electric) used in the home. In addition, TEP states that if a home is designed with gas water heating and gas heating to meet the 50 percent zero-net energy level (Tier 3), then a gas/electric home would qualify as a Tier 3 level home. This home would also qualify for the Tier 3 incentive level payments. TEP stated that it chose to focus its marketing on an all electric option for Tier 3. However, Staff believes that for Tier 3 that TEP's marketing efforts should include gas/electric homes.

PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

- 10. The objectives of the ZEH Pilot Program are the following:
 - Reduce peak demand and overall energy consumption (electric) in new homes;
 - Implement programs that include more aggressive energy efficiency standards that produce savings of at least 20 percent above baseline (HERS 70) and a near zero-net percentage of at least 50 percent (HERS 45) where approximately 50 percent of annual energy used by the home will come from on-site renewable generation;
 - Stimulate the installation of solar photovoltaic systems and solar water heaters in new homes;
 - Stimulate energy efficiency standards that are higher than Environmental Protection Agency/Department of Energy, Energy Star Homes® performance standards;

Decision No.

- Stimulate construction of new homes that are inspected and tested to assure energy performance;
- Stimulate the installation of high efficiency heating and cooling systems, envelope, lighting, and fixed appliances (Energy Star® products);
- Assist sales agents with promoting and selling of zero-net energy homes;
- Provide information to help explain the benefits of zero-net home features;
- Train builder construction staff and sub-contractors in advanced building-science concepts to reach zero-net energy goals through improved design and installation practices, and through the installation of renewable energy devices;
- Increase homebuyer awareness and understanding of the benefits they receive from living in a zero-net energy home and how they can improve the performance of their home; and
- Educate builders who: 1) are not familiar with energy savings and on-site generation potential; 2) may be uncertain about zero-net energy performance; and 3) may be concerned about high initial costs for construction measures.

PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

- 11. According to TEP, the ZEH Pilot Program would provide the following products and services:
 - Promotion of builders and subdivisions that achieve zero-net energy levels of at least 50 percent;
 - Builder and sub-contractor education and training;
 - Educational and promotional materials for builders and new home buyers; and
 - Homeowner or builder incentives for achieving increasing energy efficiency and zero-net energy levels as measured by a HERS index score of either ≤ 70 or ≤ 45 .

ZEH PILOT PROGRAM METHODOLOGY

12. To determine the feasibility for a ZEH program, TEP developed a baseline simulation model of a new home; then several versions of the baseline model with increasing levels of energy efficiency; and finally several versions of the energy efficiency models with increasing levels of zero-net energy targets.

Decision	NΩ	
DCCISION	TAO.	

- 13. There were four stages of the study:
 - Define and simulate a baseline home, reflecting current practice for new single family homes in Tucson, Arizona, as an approximate representative location for TEP territory. The home was modeled as all-electric.
 - Define and simulate three homes with increasing levels of efficiency. The targets for the models were a 20 percent, 30 percent, and 35 percent reduction in annual energy use.
 - Simulate three homes with increasing levels of zero-net energy by adding both solar water heating and solar PV. The targets for the models were 50 percent, 75 percent, and 100 percent zero-net energy levels. These models were based on either the 20 percent or the 35 percent energy efficiency home.
 - Combine estimated demand and energy savings from all of the models, incremental costs over baseline costs, and other utility data to produce a benefit-cost test result for each model. This was done in the format of a Measure Analysis Sheet.
- 14. According to TEP, the baseline home simulation was an all-electric, 1,850 square-foot home in Tucson, Arizona. A combination of two sources was used to determine the level of efficiency in the baseline model: 1) the 2007 Enovity Report², and 2) the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code for residential new construction. The models were developed using the eQuestTM simulation software which generates savings estimates. The homes were also modeled with REM/Rate simulation software that determines the HERS index the homes would achieve. TEP developed a total of nine cases; three of which were energy efficiency models, three zero-net models based on a 20 percent energy efficient house, and three zero-net models based on a 35 percent energy efficient home.
- 15. For the energy efficiency-only models, TEP set a goal of 20 percent, 30 percent, and 35 percent reduction in annual energy usage over the baseline model. In addition, TEP added the following energy efficiency measures to the baseline model to generate increasing levels of savings:
 - Orientation: Orienting a house in a north-south direction. (Not normally achievable in a subdivision design and can usually only be applied to custom homes.)

Decision	No.		
	TAO.		

² Residential Home Standards: Energy Analysis and DOE-2 Simulation, Prepared by Enovity, Inc. for Tucson Electric Power Company, February 12, 2007.

BUDGET AND ENERGY SAVINGS

17. TEP estimated only the additional incremental costs related to the promotion of the proposed multi-tiered approach. Table 3 and Table 4 below show the estimated ZEH Pilot Program budget over a three-year period, from 2010-2012. The estimated budget represents only the incremental increase in the approved budget for the existing Guarantee Homes Program.

Table 3 Year	2010	2011	2012
Financial Incentives	\$195,000	\$214,500	\$235,500

• Windows: reducing total window area; increasing window area on south-facing wall to increase passive solar heating; and reducing glass heat transfer coefficient ("U-Value") and Solar Heat Gain Coefficient ("SHGC") value. The SHGC value indicates how well a window blocks the transmission of heat from sunlight and is expressed as a number between 0 and 1. The lower the SHGC of a window, the more efficiently it blocks the transmission of heat.

- HVAC Measures: Reducing infiltration, reducing duct leakage, heat pump quality installation, increasing heat pump Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio ("SEER") and Coefficient of Performance ("COP") or Heating Seasonal Performance Factor ("HSPF") values, and moving ducts into conditioned space. Running HVAC ductwork through air conditioned or semi-conditioned spaces avoids temperature extremes and allows the system to work more efficiently. SEER ratings reflect the ratio of cooling output to kWh used and measure efficiency over an entire cooling season.
- Envelope: Increasing thermal resistance values ("R-values") in walls and ceiling.
- Lighting: Reducing lighting power density.
- Appliances: Replacing standard fixed appliances with Energy Star® fixed appliances.
- system and a solar PV system were subtracted from the hourly total energy use of the 20 percent energy efficiency or 35 percent energy efficiency model results, providing the net hourly and annual use of the home. TEP estimated the solar output using PVWatts simulation software for the solar PV system. TEP also used an in-house built spreadsheet model for the solar water heating system. The coincident and non-coincident peak demand for each case was determined by the hourly model results.

1	
2	
3	
4	

Total Direct Implementation	\$19,514	\$19,945	\$20,420
Total Marketing Allocation	\$7,921	\$8,713	\$9,584
Total Admin and O&M Cost Allocation	\$6,598	\$7,258	\$7,984
Total EM&V Cost Allocation	\$1,486	\$1,634	\$1,798
Total Program Budget (above GHP)	\$230,519	\$252,050	\$275,286

Table 4

Year Year	2010	÷ 2011	2012
Total Program Budget	\$230,519	\$252,050	\$275,286
Incentives	\$195,000	\$214,500	\$235,500
Admin Costs	\$35,519	\$37,550	\$39,786
Incentives as % of Budget	84.6%	85.1%	85.5%

18. The baseline home simulation model used by TEP has a consumption of 14,228 kWh per year and a HERS index of 90. With the addition of Tier 2 and Tier 3, energy consumption decreases and the HERS index improves as energy efficiency measures, efficient designs, and renewables are incorporated. Table 5 below compares the annual consumption, peak demand, and annual savings with the baseline model, the existing program (Tier 1), and the addition of Tier 2 and Tier 3.

Table 5

1 abic 5				
	Baseline Home Model	Existing Program Tier 1:HERS Index ≤ 85	Proposed Tier 2: HERS Index ≤ 70	Proposed Tier 3: HERS Index ≤ 45
Modeled Annual Consumption (kWh)	14,228	13,142	11,355	4,770
Peak Demand-Coincident (kW)	5.72	4.4	3.75	2.54
Annual kWh Savings	n/a	1,086	2,873	9,458
Annual Peak kW Savings	n/a	1.32	1.97	3.18

- Tier 1 is the existing Guarantee Homes Program. Tier 1 has a qualifying HERS index of ≤ 85. TEP did not include a re-analysis of this existing program in the ZEH Pilot Program.
- Tier 2 is the proposed new Guarantee Homes Plus program. Tier 2 has a qualifying HERS index of ≤ 70. The Tier 2 home is modeled to be approximately 30 percent more efficient than the baseline home.
- Tier 3 is the proposed new Guarantee Homes Near Zero-Net. Tier 3 has a qualifying HERS index of \leq 45. The Tier 3 home is modeled to be approximately 50 percent

_				* T	
1	001	C1	On	No.	
┙	וטטי	O1	OH	INU.	

zero-net energy and is based on the home that is approximately 50 percent more

TEP anticipates a maximum of 100 participants in 2010, estimating that 70 percent

would achieve the Tier 2 standard and 30 percent would achieve the Tier 3 standard. In addition,

TEP is anticipating a 10 percent increase in participation per year. Participation in the existing

GHP Program is not included in TEP's forecast. Table 6 below shows TEP's total participation

2010

70

30

100

485

2011

77

33

110

533

2012

85

36

121

585

Total

232

99

331

1,603

efficient than the baseline home model.

Year

Tier 2 Participants-HERS Index

Tier 3 Participants-HERS Index

Total Annual Energy Savings

Tier 2 and Tier 3 Total Participants/year

(MWh)

Table 6

19.

goals and energy savings.

3 4

6

5

7

9

13

15

BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS

14

The Commission's 1991 Resource Planning Decision established the Societal Test 20. as the methodology to be used for determining the cost-effectiveness of a DSM program. Under the Societal Test, in order to be cost-effective, the ratio of benefits to costs must be greater than one. That is, the incremental benefits to society of a program must exceed the incremental costs of having the program in place. The societal costs for a DSM program include the cost of the measure and the cost of implementing the program, excluding rebates. The societal benefits of a DSM program include the avoided demand and energy costs as well as the avoided environmental impacts, which are quantified, but do not have to be monetized. The projected environmental and estimated energy savings are discussed in the next section. Staff did not conduct a benefit-cost analysis of TEP's proposed Tier 3 option because, according to information provided by TEP, the only difference between the incremental costs to builders for a home that qualifies for TEP's Tier 2 and TEP's Tier 3 option is the costs for solar measures.

21. In its application, TEP included potential costs of complying with carbon dioxide (CO₂) regulation in its benefit-cost calculations. TEP has estimated low, medium, and high carbon

|--|

8

10 11 12

16 17 18

20

19

22

21

23

24 25

26

27

28

values of \$14, \$25, and \$43/ton respectively. Staff understands that the Commission has yet to make a determination as to the potential value of CO₂ or its inclusion in the calculation of the Societal Test. Staff conducted its benefit-cost analysis including and excluding the CO₂ values provided by TEP. Without any value of CO₂ included in its analysis, Staff has concluded that TEP's Tier 2 option for the ZEH Pilot Program is not cost-effective, with an incremental builder cost of \$3,995 and a benefit/cost ratio of 0.75. With the inclusion of a low CO₂ value, TEP's Tier 2 option is cost-effective with a benefit-cost ratio of 1.12.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND ENERGY SAVINGS

22. Table 7 below represents the estimated lifetime environmental savings from all of the ZEH Pilot Program homes projected to be built over a three-year period. These savings represent the incremental savings above the estimate for the TEP Tier 1(existing program). Staff notes that the projected environmental savings listed below reflect a thirty-year lifespan for the qualified homes built under this program and that longer life spans would result in higher savings.

Table	
Type of Emission	Savings
CO ₂ (lbs)	61,384,136
NO _x (lbs)	83,285
SO_x (lbs)	69,026
Water (gals)	14,746,478

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 23. Staff has made the following recommendations concerning the TEP ZEH Pilot Program:
 - Based upon Staff's typical review and analysis of the benefits and costs of TEP's application, Staff has not recommended that TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program, as discussed herein, be approved at this time. However, based upon Staff's analysis with the inclusion of the CO₂ value, TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program is cost-effective.
 - Should the Commission decide to approve TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program with the inclusion of the CO₂ value, Staff has recommended that TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program be approved as discussed herein as a step toward zero-net energy home standards. In addition, Staff has recommended that TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program be approved until further order of the Commission. Further, if the Commission approves of TEP's proposed ZEH Pilot Program, Staff has recommended that TEP include information regarding its ZEH Pilot Program in its

~ · ·	' '% T	
1 100101	ion No.	
DCCISI	IOII I IO.	

3

5

4

6

7

8

9

11

1213

1415

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

26

27

28 ||.

compliance filing with the requirements established for the existing New Home Construction Program in Decision No. 70458. The information reported should be broken down by tier. In addition, the information and data reported for all of the tiers should include the following information:

- 1. Progress toward the goal of zero-net energy homes;
- 2. Information on whether incremental measure and program costs are conforming to expectations;
- 3. Data indicating whether the energy savings estimated for each tier have actually occurred and been verified in practice;
- 4. Explanations and proposed solutions should be provided in cases where participation, incremental and program costs, or energy savings are significantly different than originally estimated.
- 5. Any other information necessary for the Commission to understand the progress and status of the program; and
- In addition, should the Commission approve TEP's ZEH Pilot Program, Staff has recommended that it be marketed to gas/electric homes and that forty-two months after the date of a Decision in this matter, TEP file, for Commission approval, an application to continue, modify, or terminate the ZEH Pilot Program. Staff believes that a forty-two month timeframe is reasonable for TEP to sufficiently implement the Pilot Program, measure the results, and file its application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. TEP is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over TEP and over the subject matter of the Application.
- 3. The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum dated March 16, 2010, concludes that it is not in the public interest to approve the TEP request for approval of its Zero-Net Energy Homes Pilot Program.

	_		
1		<u>ORDER</u>	
2	IT IS THEREFORE O	RDERED that Tucson Electric	Power Company's request for
3	approval of its Zero-Net Energy	Homes Pilot Program be and here	eby is denied.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDEI	RED that this Order shall become	e effective immediately.
5			
6	BY THE ORDER OI	F THE ARIZONA CORPORAT	TION COMMISSION
7			
8			
9	CHAIRMAN	COMM	IISSIONER
10			
11			
12	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER
13			
14		IN WITNESS WHEREON Executive Director of the A	F, I, ERNEST G. JOHNSON Arizona Corporation Commission d and caused the official seal of
15 16		have hereunto, set my hand this Commission to be affix	d and caused the official seal of xed at the Capitol, in the City of f, 2010.
17		Thomas, thistay o	, 2010.
18		ERNEST G. JOHNSON	
19		EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
20	DISSENT:		
21	DISSENT.		
22	DISSENT:		
23	SMO:CLA:lhm\JFW		
24			
25	·		
26			
27			
28			
			Decision No.

P	age	1	3
T	ago	Ŧ	J

1		
1	SERVICE LIST FOR: Tucson Electric Power Comp	pany
	DOCKET NOS. E-01933A-07-0402 and E-01933A-	•
2		
3	Mr. Philip J. Dion	Mr. C. Webb Crockett
4	UniSource Energy Services	Fennemore Craig, PC
.	One South Church Avenue, Suite 200	3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600
5	Tucson, Arizona 85701	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913
6	Mr. Michael Patten	Mr. Nicholas J. Enoch
7	Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC	Lubin & Enoch, PC
′ .	One Arizona Center	349 North Fourth Avenue
8	400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004	Phoenix, Arizona 85003
9		Mr. Timothy M. Hogan
	Mr. Daniel Pozefsky	Arizona Center for Law in the Public Interest
10	RUCO	202 East McDowell Road, Suite 153
11	1110 West Washington, Suite 220	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	M. David D.
12	Mar Mishael Court	Mr. David Berry
12	Mr. Michael Grant	Western Resource Advocates P.O. Box 1064
13	Gallagher & Kennedy, PA 2575 East Camelback Road	Scottsdale, Arizona 85252-1064
14	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225	500000000, A1120114 05252*1004
l	1 Modin, 1 Mizona 03010 7223	Mr. Jeff Schlegel
15	Mr. Gary Yaquinto	SWEEP
16	AUIA	1167 West Samalayuca Drive
1	2 100 North Central Avenue, Suite 210	Tucson, Arizona 85704-3224
17	Phoenix, Arizona 85004) (m)
18	M. D. C. N.	Mr. Thomas L. Mumaw
	Mr. Peter Q. Nyce, Jr.	Arizona Public Service Company PO Box 53999 / Mail Station: 8695
19	General Attorney, Regulatory Law Office Office of the Judge Advocate General	PO Box 53999 / Mail Station: 8695 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999
20	Department of the Army	1 HOOHIA, 1 MIZOHA 050 / Z-5797
∠U	901 North Stuart Street, Room 713	Mr. Lawrence Robertson
21	Arlington, Virginia 22203-1644	P.O. Box 1448
22		Tubac, Arizona 85646
22	Mr. Dan Neidlinger	
23	Neidlinger & Assoc.	Mr. Michael L. Kurtz
	3020 North 17th Drive	Mr. Kurt J. Boehm
24	Phoenix, Arizona 85015	Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
25	Mr. Daniel D. Haws	36 East Seventh Street, Suite 15 10 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
	Mr. Daniel D. Haws OSJA, ATTN: ATZS-JAD	Cinomian, Onto 73202
26	USA Intelligence Center & Ft. Huachuca	Mr. S. David Childers
27	Ft. Huachuca, Arizona 85613-6000	Low & Childers, PC
41		2999 North 44th Street, Suite 250
28		Phoenix, Arizona 85018
	 	

1	Mr. William P. Sullivan
_	Curtis, Goodwin, Sullivan,
2	Udall & Schwab, PLC
3	501 East Thomas Road Phoenix, Arizona 85012
4	Thoums, Thibona 65 012
	Mr. Robert J. Metli
5	Snell & Wilmer LLP
6	One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren
7	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
8	Mr. Greg Patterson
9	916 West Adams, Suite 3
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
10	Law Offices of Christopher Hitchcock
11	P.O. Box AT Bisbee, Arizona 85603-0115
12	Me Dilly I Dustratt
13	Mr. Billy L. Burtnett 3351 North Riverbend Circle East
14	Tucson, Arizona 85750-2509
15	Mr. John E. O'Hare 3865 North Tucson Boulevard
16	Tucson, Arizona 85716-1041
17	Ms. Cynthia Zwick
18	1940 East Luke Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85016
19	i nocinx, Arizona 65010
19	Mr. Steven M. Olea
20	Director, Utilities Division
21	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
22	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
23	Ms. Janice M. Alward
24	Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
	1200 West Washington Street
25	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
26	
27	
	1